Research Paper

Second line chemotherapy and visceral metastases are associated with poor survival in patients with mCRPC receiving ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617

Katharina Kessel¹, Robert Seifert¹, Michael Schäfers¹, Matthias Weckesser¹, Katrin Schlack², Martin Boegemann² and Kambiz Rahbar¹✉

1. Department of Nuclear Medicine, Münster University Hospital

2. Department of Urology, Münster University Hospital

✉ Corresponding author: Kambiz Rahbar, MD, Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Muenster, 48149 Muenster, Germany. +49-251-8347362; rahbar@uni-muenster.de

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). See <http://ivyspring.com/terms> for full terms and conditions.

Received: 2019.04.15; Accepted: 2019.06.07; Published: 2019.07.09

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to identify previous treatments and biomarker profile features that prognosticate overall survival (OS) in patients with mCRPC receiving ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617.

Methods: 109 mCRPC patients treated with a median of 3 cycles of ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 were included. Data were analyzed according to OS as well as PSA response patterns with regard to prior therapies, laboratory biomarkers and metastatic extent in univariate as well as multivariate Cox's proportional hazards models. PSA decline was assessed using the lowest PSA levels after the first cycle of therapy (initial PSA response) and during the entire observation period (best PSA response).

Results: In total, 54 patients (49.5%) died during the observation period. First and second line chemotherapy were performed in 85% and 26%, and Abiraterone and Enzalutamide were administered in 83% and 85%, respectively. Any initial PSA decline occurred in 55% while 25% showed a PSA decline of $\geq 50\%$. The median estimated OS was 9.9 months (95% CI: 7.2–12.5) for all patients. Any initial decline of PSA was associated with significantly prolonged OS (15.5 vs. 5.7 months, $p = 0.002$). Second line cabazitaxel chemotherapy (6.7 vs. 15.7 months, $p = 0.002$) and presence of visceral metastases (5.9 vs. 16.4 months, $p < 0.001$) were associated with shorter OS. Only visceral metastases remained significant in a multivariate analysis.

Conclusion: ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 is an effective therapy for patients with mCRPC. However, the present data indicate that its beneficial effects on OS are strongly influenced by pretreatment (history of second line chemotherapy with cabazitaxel) and the presence of visceral metastases at onset of ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 treatment.

Key words: prostate cancer, mCRPC, ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617, PSMA, radioligand therapy, second line chemotherapy, cabazitaxel, metastases

Introduction

Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) ligands are used both for diagnostics and therapy of patients with prostate cancer and are indeed changing the management of prostate cancer patients [1-4].

The most commonly used radiopharmaceutical for patients with advanced metastatic castration-

resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC), ^{177}Lu -labelled PSMA-617, has been the subject of various studies during recent years [3, 5-12]. The advantages of treatment with ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 are the reported low toxicity profile, the high response rates and an improvement in quality of life, even in comparison to

other third-line treatments like Cabazitaxel and Enzalutamide [13]. Retrospective studies showed promising response rates indicating the capability to improve clinical endpoints like progression-free survival and PSA response [6, 12, 14]. Moreover, it was shown that ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 therapy may even prolong overall survival (OS) in a heavily pretreated patient cohort. In a previous study, an initial PSA decline of 20.9% after the first ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 cycle was independently associated with longer OS [15]. More reliable markers and predictors are urgently needed to stratify patients for upcoming randomized controlled trials on ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 and select for optimized therapy sequencing in mCRPC.

The aim of the present study was to identify factors in addition to PSA response that may help prognosticating OS in patients treated with ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617. To this end, the impact of earlier therapies, such as second-line chemotherapy with Cabazitaxel, are analyzed to further elucidate the factors determining the success of ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 therapy. It is known that visceral metastases of patients with mCRPC are associated with poor outcome [16]. Especially liver metastases were shown to be associated with shorter OS during different therapies targeting mCRPC [17, 18]. For the present initial analysis, the influence of visceral metastases on OS was further investigated in the context of Cabazitaxel treatment in this cohort.

Patients and Methods

Patient population

A total of 109 patients treated with ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 radioligand therapy (RLT) between November 2014 and December 2018 were included in the present retrospective analysis. All patients were castration-resistant and were pretreated with at least one line of chemotherapy (or were not suitable for chemotherapy) as well as at least one of next-generation anti-hormonal therapies (Abiraterone or Enzalutamide). All patients were informed about possible adverse events and risks in detail which may be associated with ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 therapy. All patients gave their written informed consent prior to treatment. The decision for ^{177}Lu -PSMA therapy was made by the interdisciplinary tumor board after carefully reviewing each individual case. PSMA-RLT is strictly considered last-line therapy after progression or failure of all other therapy options. 26 patients were included in a previous multicenter study [15]. For those patients longer follow-up is now available.

All procedures performed in this study were done in accordance with ethical standards and according to the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its

later amendments. This study was approved by the local ethics committee (No. 2016-585-f-S, Ethikkommission der Ärztekammer Westfalen-Lippe und der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster).

Preparation and administration of ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617

The PSMA-617 precursor was purchased from ABX advanced biochemical compounds (Radeberg, Germany) and labelled with ^{177}Lu (ITG Isotopes Technology, Garching, Germany) on site as previously described. RLT injection was performed as already described elsewhere [15]. ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 RLT cycles were administered every 6-8 weeks until tumor progression, death, or withdrawal of the patient's consent.

Evaluation of response and survival

Overall survival of each patient was determined as the primary clinical endpoint and was defined as death from any cause. PSA decline was assessed using the lowest PSA levels after the first therapy cycle (initial PSA response) and during the entire observation period (best PSA response). Biochemical response is defined by the Prostate Cancer Working Group 3 (PCWG3) criteria as a PSA decline $\geq 50\%$ [19]. All clinical and hematological parameters (age, ALP, platelet and neutrophile count, hemoglobin and LDH) were assessed prior to first administration of the ^{177}Lu -PSMA-617 therapy, during further therapy cycles, and during observation period and were analyzed according to their effect on survival.

Stratification of the patient collective

Any PSA decline, a decline of $\geq 30\%$ and a decline of $\geq 50\%$ were used to differentiate subgroups to evaluate the implications on OS (initial and best PSA response). Furthermore, patients were grouped according to whether they had received a second line chemotherapy with Cabazitaxel or not. In an additional approach, patients were grouped according to whether they presented with visceral metastases and compared with regards to OS.

Statistics

SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM Corporation, Somers, NY, USA) and GraphPad Prism (version 7.0e, GraphPad, CA, USA) were used for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics are reported as medians and IQR for continuous variables and frequencies for categorical variables. To identify parameters with significant impact on OS, multiple baseline and follow-up parameters were assessed by Kaplan-Meier estimates, log-rank tests and Cox proportional hazards ratios (HR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (95%CI) in a univariate as well as multivariate

analysis approach. Chi²-test was applied to test the relative distribution of visceral metastases between different treatment groups.

To detect significant differences, pairwise comparison of different groups was performed by students t-test using GraphPad Prism 7; *p*-values ≤ 0.05 are considered statistically noticeable.

Results

Patient's characteristics are summarized in table 1. A total of 354 cycles of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 with a median cumulative dose of 18.3 GBq (IQR 5.2 - 58.5) were applied to 109 patients. The median administered single dose was 6.2 GBq (IQR 5.8 - 6.48) with a median of three cycles ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 given (range one to nine cycles). Due to death or primary disease progression on ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, 21 patients (19%) received only one cycle of therapy.

At baseline, 93% of patients presented with bone metastases, 81% had lymph node metastases, and 44% showed visceral metastases. All patients were castration resistant. 85% had received at least one line of chemotherapy (Docetaxel) and 26% had been treated with a second-line chemotherapy with Cabazitaxel. All patients had received at least one line of next-generation anti-hormonal therapy with Abiraterone or Enzalutamide, 85% of whom had been treated with Enzalutamide and 83% with Abiraterone, 79% had received both. 16 patients had not been pretreated with Docetaxel due to contraindication for chemotherapy (e.g. heart failure, ECOG, age, etc.). In total, 54 patients (49%) died during the observation period.

Kaplan-Meier analysis of the entire cohort revealed a median survival of 9.9 months (95%CI: 7.2 - 12.5, (Figure 1A). PSA response data of 87 patients were available for further analysis.

Impact of blood parameters and PSA changes on overall survival

Any PSA decline occurred in 61 patients (70%), while 26 patients (30%) presented with PSA level increase. A proportion of 32% responded with a decrease ≥ 50%, 50% responded with a PSA decline ≥30%. Patients with any initial PSA decline after the first cycle of treatment showed a significantly longer OS (15.3 vs. 8.4 months, log rank test *p* = 0.01; HR 0.4, cox regression (CR) *p* = n.s.). An initial PSA decline of ≥50% was associated with prolonged survival (15.5 vs. 9.2 months, log rank test *p* = 0.031; HR 0.4, CR *p* = n.s.) as well as an initial decline of ≥30 % (15.3 vs. 8.4 months, log rank test *p* = 0.023; HR 0.4, CR *p* = n.s.). Like the initial PSA response rates, the analysis of best PSA response rates gave similar results with a decline of ≥30% (15.3 vs. 7.5 months, log rank test *p* = 0.01; HR

0.4, CR *p* = n.s.; Figure 1C). Best PSA decline ≥50% (Figure 1D) and any best PSA decline were also significantly associated with prolonged survival in Kaplan-Meier analysis (Log rank *p* = 0.008 and 0.03, respectively), but not after multivariate cox regression analysis.

There were no significant differences in OS between patients expressing ALP or LDH above or below the respective threshold (220 U/L and 240 U/L, respectively; Table 2).

Pre-treatment and tumor-load-dependent survival

The previous treatment with second line chemotherapy (Cabazitaxel) was identified to have an impact on OS: Twenty-eight patients (26%) had received second line Cabazitaxel, which was associated with shorter OS compared to patients who had not received second line chemotherapy (7.9 vs. 14.6 months, Log rank *p* = 0.002; HR 2.1, *p* = 0.009; Figure 2A).

Comparison of these two groups did not reveal any differences for Age, LDH, and ALP levels as well as baseline PSA and PSA response (Figure S1 A-E). Additionally, the metastatic patterns of patients pretreated with Cabazitaxel were different from those who had not received Cabazitaxel (Figure 2 C), with slightly higher numbers of bone metastases, but a higher rate of visceral metastases as confirmed by Chi²-test (67% vs. 37%; *p* < 0.001; Figure 2C).

Table 1. Patient baseline characteristics

Parameters	Median	(IQR)
Age	72	(44.7-87.5)
Gleason score	8	(7.8-8.4)
PSA (ng/ml)	294	(2.74-7190)
ECOG PS	n	%
0-1	85	77.3
2	21	19.1
unknown	4	3.6
Alkaline phosphatase (U/l)	173	(38-1033)
LDH (U/l)	326	(160-7802)
Site of metastases	n	%
Bone	102	93.5
Lymph node	87	79.8
Liver	34	31.2
Lung	24	22.0
other	2	1.8
Previous therapy of mCRPC	109	100%
Docetaxel	93	85.3
Cabazitaxel	28	25.7
Abiraterone	91	83.4
Enzalutamide	93	85.3
Both (Abiraterone & Enzalutamide)	86	78.9
²²³ Radium	22	20.1
External beam radiation to bone	47	43.1

PSA = Prostate-specific antigen; ECOG PS = Eastern Co-operative Oncology Group Performance Status; LDH = Lactate Dehydrogenase; mCRPC = metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer.

Figure 1. A) Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival (OS) of the entire cohort. B) Waterfall plot of the percental PSA response distribution among all patients with a measurable PSA response. Response values, known critical for OS are indicated as threshold (dotted lines at 30, 50 and 90 %). Asterisks indicate values higher than 100 % PSA increase. Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival of patients presenting a best PSA decline of ≥30% (C) and ≥50 %. (D). CI=confidence interval, PSA = prostate specific antigen

Forty-eight patients (44%) of the entire cohort presented with visceral metastases, which was found to be associated with significantly shorter survival (7.1 vs. 13.1 months; log rank $p = 0.029$; Figure 2B) which remained as an independent predictor of OS (cox regression $p < 0.01$, table 2). The highest impact on survival of all visceral metastases was observed for liver metastases (5.6 vs. 13.2 months, Log Rank: $p < 0.001$; HR 3.0, $p < 0.001$; Figure 2D), while lung metastases had no significant influence on OS (9.3 vs. 11.5 months $p = \text{n.s.}$; Figure 2E). Patients pretreated with Cabazitaxel had a higher rate of liver metastases than patients without Cabazitaxel pretreatment (60 vs. 21%), while an equal proportion of each group had lung metastases (21 and 22%). To investigate whether Cabazitaxel (CABA) treatment and liver metastases (LM) have effects on survival, we performed an analysis with four groups: LM+CABA⁺ (n=15); LM-CABA⁺ (n=13), LM+CABA⁻ (n=19) and LM-CABA⁻ (n=61). Untreated patients (CABA-) without LM showed the longest OS (16.4 months; CI 95% 8.2-24.7), while CABA treated patients with LM (LM+CABA⁺) presented with the shortest OS in this comparison (3.3 months CI 95% 1.2-5.4; log rank $P < 0.001$; Figure S2).

OS did change with Cabazitaxel treatment, yet not on a significant level compared to untreated patients without LM (LM-CABA⁻ vs. LM-CABA⁺, 16.4 vs. 13.2 months; Figure S1B). On the other hand, OS of untreated patients with LM was significantly shorter than OS of LM-CABA⁻ patients (16.4 vs. 6.9 months; CI95% 8.2-24.7 vs. 4.7-9.1, respectively; Figure S2C). Noticeably, the effects of LM and CABA treatment seem to add up and shorten life expectation significantly (16.4; CI 8.2-24.7 vs. 3.3; CI 1.2-5.4 months; log rank $p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences regarding PSA level or PSA response (Figure 3 A-C), nor in any other marker or condition that was compared, except the parameters LDH and ALP. LDH levels were significantly elevated in LM+CABA⁺ patients compared to the remaining three groups (Figure 3D+E). Yet, CABA treatment seems to have more impact on LDH serum levels than LM, while LM seem to influence ALP serum levels stronger than CABA treatment.

Further, the effect of external beam radiation therapy (EBRT) as well as next-generation anti-hormonal therapy and Radium-223 on OS was investigated and was not significant (data not shown).

Figure 2. A) Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival of patients who had or had not received Cabazitaxel treatment. Comparison of cabazitaxel treated patients vs. untreated. Kaplan-Meier curves for visceral metastases is shown in (B). The distribution of metastases among CABA-treated patients is shown in a stacked column graph in %, result of Chi²-test for the distribution of visceral metastases between CABA and no CABA is indicated with asterisks***p<0.001. (C) Kaplan-Meier plots are shown for OS between patients with or without liver (D) and lung (E) metastases including log rank test results. CABA = Cabazitaxel; OS=overall survival; CI=confidence interval; LN = lymph node, visMet = visceral metastases, VM=visceral metastases.

Figure 3. Dot plot comparisons are shown for certain parameters between four subgroups regarding liver metastases and cabazitaxel treatment including medians and standard deviation (A-E). Significant results are indicated by asterisks: *p<0.01, **p<0.001, ***p<0.0001, ****p<0.00001. PSA =prostate-specific antigen; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; ALP = alkaline phosphatase; LM = liver metastases; CABA = cabazitaxel-treated

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis of overall survival.

Subgroup	Patients (n)	Events (n)	mOS (months)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P _{Log rank}	P _{cox regression}
Any initial PSA decline						
Yes	61	28	15.3	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	0.01	n.s.
No	26	11	8.4	1 (reference)		
Initial PSA decline ≥50%						
Yes	28	10	15.5	0.4 (0.2-0.9)		
No	59	29	9.2	1 (reference)	0.03	n.s.
Initial PSA decline ≥30%						
Yes	43	18	15.3	0.4 (0.2-0.9)	0.03	n.s.
no	44	21	8.4	1 (reference)		
baseline Alkaline phosphatase						
<220 U/L	67	32	9.8	0.3 (0.6-1.7)	n.s.	n.s.
>220 U/L	42	22	9.2	1 (reference)		
baseline LDH						
<240 U/L	33	17	9.8	0.9 (0.6-1.7)	n.s.	n.s.
≥240 U/L	76	37	9.2	1 (reference)		
Visceral metastasis						
Yes	49	32	6.9	2.0 (1.2-3.6)	0.029	0.006
No	59	21	15.3	1 (reference)		
Second line chemotherapy (Cabazitaxel)						
Yes	28	18	6.8	2.1 (1.2-3.8)		
No	81	36	11.5	1 (reference)	0.005	n.s.
Any best PSA decline						
Yes	65	29	15.3	0.4 (0.2-0.8)	0.03	n.s.
No	23	12	8.4	1 (reference)		
Best PSA decline ≥50%						
Yes	41	16	15.3	0.4 (0.2-0.8)		
No	47	25	7.5	1 (reference)	0.008	n.s.
Best PSA decline ≥30%						
Yes	51	22	15.3	0.4 (0.2-0.9)	0.01	n.s.
no	37	19	7.5	1 (reference)		

PSA = Prostate-specific antigen; LDH = Lactate Dehydrogenase, *p*-values that remained significant after multivariate analysis are shown in bold.

Discussion

The present study investigates the role of prior treatment strategies and biochemical parameters, such as PSA, ALP and LDH in 109 patients with mCRPC undergoing ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 RLT and their impact on OS.

Recent studies have postulated that a PSA response of ≥50% is a critical prognostic factor for OS in patients with mCRPC receiving ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 therapy [6, 15, 20].

As shown in an earlier study, the present study confirmed that a decline in PSA levels of ≥50%, defined as biochemical response by PCSWG3, was associated with prolonged survival [17]. Furthermore, a PSA decline ≥30% was identified to be an equally powerful prognosticator in the present study. This is in line with another previous trial that showed that the optimal PSA-decline-cut-off under ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 RLT was 20.87% [15]. As described, any PSA decline may be a useful marker for therapy response in ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 RLT [9], whereas a decline of ≥50% or ≥30% may be more reliable, as recently described by Rahbar et al. and Heck et al. [15, 20]

The positive effects of Cabazitaxel after other

chemotherapeutics, such as Docetaxel, has been investigated in other studies with positive outcomes, such as improvement of health-related quality of life and pain control [21]. However, no study so far investigated the long-term effects of Cabazitaxel treatment with regards to the efficacy of subsequent ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 RLT. In the present cohort, patients treated with ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 RLT showed a shorter survival when having been pretreated with Cabazitaxel, compared to untreated individuals. The two groups did not vary with regard to PSA at baseline, and PSA response as well as age, ALP and LDH levels at baseline. Furthermore, similar levels of hemoglobin, age, platelets and neutrophil counts, as well as similar performance status of the patients (ECOG and Karnofsky scores (data not shown) were observed. However, noticeably, the metastatic burden was distinctively different in the patients that had been treated with cabazitaxel before. Here, almost twice as many patients had visceral metastasis compared to those patients who were cabazitaxel-naïve. Since chemotherapy is effective in visceral, especially liver metastasis, this may have been part of the reason why cabazitaxel had been given previously. And, despite second line chemotherapy may reduce the tumor burden, however, the remaining metastases may act more aggressively, as indicated by disease progression and earlier death. This might be due to tumor heterogeneity, causing a response of differentiated tumor lesions to therapy, whereas the more aggressive tumor lesions do not respond at all, possibly due to a cabazitaxel resistance. There is evidence that cancer cells of soft tissue metastases such as those sited in the liver might express more survivin and, hence, poorly or not at all respond to apoptosis inducing therapies [22]. Further, resistance to taxanes, such as cabazitaxel is reported as a multi-drug resistance accompanied by elevated class III β -tubulin RNA (TUBB3) expression and altered microtubule dynamicity, as well as decreased expression of the cell cycle regulator BRCA1 and the induction of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in breast and ovarian cancer models [23]. Another study using soft tissue metastasis-derived mCRPC cell lines resistant to cabazitaxel, suggest elevated ERK and PI3K/AKT signaling as crucial for cabazitaxel resistance in mCRPC [24]. However, the details of the underlying mechanisms for this resistance in prostate cancer in particular remain unclear and definitely call for a detailed prospective investigation. Yet, it remains to be discussed, if cabazitaxel resistance is particular for soft tissue metastases, and if absent, PSMA expression is a result of its heterogeneity among circulating tumor cells that colonize soft tissue for metastasis formation in

patients with end stage mCRPC [25]. The presence of visceral metastases was found critical for survival in previous studies and in this cohort, whereas liver metastases seem to have more impact than lung metastases [15]. In detail, a larger proportion of patients treated with cabazitaxel presented with visceral metastases (67%) compared to patients without (37%). Additionally, high tumor volume has been described as a risk factor for worse survival [26]. In this study liver metastases and cabazitaxel treatment have been identified as independent risk factors for survival. While for CABA treatment we only observe a trend in reduction of OS, it is significantly reduced by the presence of liver metastases. In CABA treated patients with liver metastases, however the effects of both risk factors seem to add up and shorten life expectation tremendously. This effect can be expected to be even more pronounced with a longer observation period, as 80% of LM⁺CABA⁺ and 73% of LM⁺CABA⁻ patients already had died during the observation period, while 46% and 35% of LM⁻CABA⁺ and LM⁻CABA⁻ patients, respectively were still alive at termination of the observation. To face the mortal risk of liver metastases that are low or potentially void of PSMA expression, we propose the investigation of a combined or alternating therapy using ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 RLT and local liver targeted therapy e.g. selective internal radiotherapy (SIRT) or external radiation [27]. This treatment strategy would target PSMA-positive lesions in bone and soft tissues as well as PSMA-negative liver metastases that are critical for OS.

This study faces a number of limitations due to its retrospective design. Retrospective data collection may have led to incomplete records of possible confounders and therefore overestimation of the reported effects. Moreover, patients in this study have not been selected randomly, but retrospectively enrolled because they fulfill certain predesigned criteria. However, these data have been collected without any hypothesis and purpose which should exclude any systematic bias.

Conclusions

¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 is an effective therapy for patients with mCRPC. However, the present data indicate that its beneficial effects on OS are strongly influenced by pretreatment therapeutic strategy (history of second line chemotherapy with cabazitaxel) and the presence of visceral metastases at onset of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 treatment. The latter remained the only independent predictor of OS. This warrant focusing on better understanding of sequencing therapies in mCRPC and focal treatment of liver

metastases in future studies. Further prospective controlled trials have to confirm these data.

Abbreviations

ALP: alkaline phosphatase; ECOG: Eastern Co-operative Oncology Group; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; mCRPC: metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; PSMA: prostate-specific membrane antigen; PSA: prostate-specific antigen; RLT: radioligand therapy.

Acknowledgements

We thank the radiochemistry group at the department of nuclear medicine for their highly reliable production of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617, as well as the technologists for their support.

We acknowledge support from the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Muenster.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figures and tables.

<http://www.thno.org/v09p4841s1.pdf>

Competing Interests

The University of Münster received consulting fees from ABX GmbH, Radeberg, Germany for K.R. and M.B. Additionally K.R. is scientific consultant/advisor of ABX GmbH. The authors declare they have no conflict of interest according to the subject and matter of the present article.

References

1. Afshar-Oromieh A, Holland-Letz T, Giesel FL, Kratochwil C, Mier W, Haufe S, et al. Diagnostic performance of (68)Ga-PSMA-11 (HBED-CC) PET/CT in patients with recurrent prostate cancer: evaluation in 1007 patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2017; 44: 1258-68.
2. Rahbar K, Afshar-Oromieh A, Seifert R, Wagner S, Schafers M, Bogemann M, et al. Diagnostic performance of (18)F-PSMA-1007 PET/CT in patients with biochemical recurrent prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2018; 45: 2055-61.
3. Rahbar K, Ahmadzadehfard H, Kratochwil C, Haberkorn U, Schafers M, Essler M, et al. German Multicenter Study Investigating ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 Radioligand Therapy in Advanced Prostate Cancer Patients. *J Nucl Med*. 2017; 58: 85-90.
4. Hofman MS, Violet J, Hicks RJ, Ferdinandus J, Thang SP, Akhurst T, et al. [Lu-177]-PSMA-617 radionuclide treatment in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (LuPSMA trial): a single-centre, single-arm, phase 2 study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2018; 19: 825-33.
5. Ahmadzadehfard H, Rahbar K, Kurpig S, Bogemann M, Claesener M, Eppard E, et al. Early side effects and first results of radioligand therapy with (177)Lu-DKFZ-617 PSMA of castrate-resistant metastatic prostate cancer: a two-centre study. *EJNMMI Res*. 2015; 5: 114.
6. Brauer A, Grubert LS, Roll W, Schrader AJ, Schafers M, Bogemann M, et al. (177)Lu-PSMA-617 radioligand therapy and outcome in patients with metastasized castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2017; 44: 1663-70.
7. Rahbar K, Bode A, Weckesser M, Avramovic N, Claesener M, Stegger L, et al. Radioligand Therapy With ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 as A Novel Therapeutic Option in Patients With Metastatic Castration Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Clin Nucl Med*. 2016; 41: 522-8.
8. Rahbar K, Schmidt M, Heinzel A, Eppard E, Bode A, Yordanova A, et al. Response and Tolerability of a Single Dose of ¹⁷⁷Lu-PSMA-617 in Patients with Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: A Multicenter Retrospective Analysis. *J Nucl Med*. 2016; 57: 1334-8.
9. Ahmadzadehfard H, Wegen S, Yordanova A, Fimmers R, Kurpig S, Eppard E, et al. Overall survival and response pattern of castration-resistant metastatic

- prostate cancer to multiple cycles of radioligand therapy using [Lu-177]Lu-PSMA-617. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol I.* 2017; 44: 1448-54.
10. Ahmadzadehfah H, Zimbelmann S, Yordanova A, Fimmers R, Kurpig S, Eppard E, et al. Radioligand therapy of metastatic prostate cancer using Lu-177-PSMA-617 after radiation exposure to Ra-223-dichloride. *Oncotarget.* 2017; 8: 55567-74.
 11. Fendler WP, Kratochwil C, Ahmadzadehfah H, Rahbar K, Baum RP, Schmidt M, et al. [177Lu-PSMA-617 therapy, dosimetry and follow-up in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer]. *Nuklearmedizin.* 2016; 55: 123-8.
 12. Yordanova A, Becker A, Eppard E, Kurpig S, Fisang C, Feldmann G, et al. The impact of repeated cycles of radioligand therapy using [Lu-177] Lu-PSMA-617 on renal function in patients with hormone refractory metastatic prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol I.* 2017; 44: 1473-9.
 13. von Eyben FE, Roviello G, Kiljunen T, Uprimny C, Virgolini I, Kairemo K, et al. Third-line treatment and (177)Lu-PSMA radioligand therapy of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a systematic review. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2018; 45: 496-508.
 14. Fendler WP, Reinhardt S, Ilhan H, Delker A, Boning G, Gildehaus FJ, et al. Preliminary experience with dosimetry, response and patient reported outcome after 177Lu-PSMA-617 therapy for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2017; 8: 3581-90.
 15. Rahbar K, Boegemann M, Yordanova A, Eveslage M, Schafers M, Essler M, et al. PSMA targeted radioligandtherapy in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer after chemotherapy, abiraterone and/or enzalutamide. A retrospective analysis of overall survival. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2018; 45: 12-9.
 16. Drake CG. Visceral metastases and prostate cancer treatment: 'die hard,' 'tough neighborhoods,' or 'evil humors'? *Oncology (Williston Park).* 2014; 28: 974-80.
 17. Lortiot Y, Fizazi K, de Bono JS, Forer D, Hirmand M, Scher HI. Enzalutamide in castration-resistant prostate cancer patients with visceral disease in the liver and/or lung: Outcomes from the randomized controlled phase 3 AFFIRM trial. *Cancer.* 2017; 123: 253-62.
 18. Halabi S, Kelly WK, Ma H, Zhou H, Solomon NC, Fizazi K, et al. Meta-Analysis Evaluating the Impact of Site of Metastasis on Overall Survival in Men With Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016; 34: 1652-9.
 19. Scher HI, Morris MJ, Stadler WM, Higano C, Basch E, Fizazi K, et al. Trial Design and Objectives for Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Updated Recommendations From the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 3. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016; 34: 1402-18.
 20. Heck MM, Tauber R, Schwaiger S, Retz M, D'Alessandria C, Maurer T, et al. Treatment Outcome, Toxicity, and Predictive Factors for Radioligand Therapy with (177)Lu-PSMA-I&T in Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer. *Eur Urol.* 2018.
 21. Carles J, Pichler A, Korunkova H, Tomova A, Ghosn M, El Karak F, et al. An observational, multicentre study of cabazitaxel in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer previously treated with docetaxel (CAPRISTANA). *BJU Int.* 2018.
 22. Akfirat C, Zhang X, Ventura A, Berel D, Colangelo ME, Miranti CK, et al. Tumour cell survival mechanisms in lethal metastatic prostate cancer differ between bone and soft tissue metastases. *J Pathol.* 2013; 230: 291-7.
 23. Duran GE, Wang YC, Francisco EB, Rose JC, Martinez FJ, Coller J, et al. Mechanisms of resistance to cabazitaxel. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2015; 14: 193-201.
 24. Hongo H, Kosaka T, Oya M. Analysis of cabazitaxel-resistant mechanism in human castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2018; 109: 2937-45.
 25. Gorges TM, Riethdorf S, von Ahsen O, Nastal YP, Rock K, Boede M, et al. Heterogeneous PSMA expression on circulating tumor cells: a potential basis for stratification and monitoring of PSMA-directed therapies in prostate cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2016; 7: 34930-41.
 26. Buelens S, De Bleser E, Dhondt B, Verla W, Decaestecker K, Ost P, et al. Importance of metastatic volume in prognostic models to predict survival in newly diagnosed metastatic prostate cancer. *World J Urol.* 2018.
 27. Bunck AC, Dos Santos DP, Chang DH, Reiser M, Pfister D, Bunck A, et al. Successful Yttrium-90 Microsphere Radioembolization for Hepatic Metastases of Prostate Cancer. *Case Rep Oncol.* 2017; 10: 627-33.