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Introduction

The Passover haggadah is commonly considered a particularly popular Hebrew book. Numerous illustrated editions are produced to this day and offered every spring in bookstores around the world. When we look at the history of early Hebrew printing, however, it appears that the haggadah was not particularly important in that chapter of Jewish book history and that only relatively few editions were, in fact, printed.¹ As the following paragraphs will show, the haggadah was subject to far-reaching developments during the fourteenth and the fifteenth centuries, well before the time that the print medium began to impact book culture. Whereas the typical medieval illustrated book was designed with two groups of readers in mind, the learned and the very rich, the makers of illuminated manuscript haggadot developed a visual language during these decades that was aimed at relatively wider audiences. It thus seems that during this formative period, the haggadah, which had begun to circulate as a separate book only in the thirteenth century, established itself firmly within the framework of the manuscript genre and did not easily make the move to the printing press.

Although there can be no doubt that the printing press revolutionized the book, its industry, its dissemination, and, most of all, European culture in all its aspects,² recent scholarship has pointed out that manuscript culture co-existed with printed books for many decades, even centuries.³ The wealthy nobility, in fact, seems to have preferred old-style luxurious manuscripts over printed books, innovative as the latter
may have been. Books of common interest were printed and marketed, and often reprinted, but for centuries books that were not expected to address wide circles of readers continued to be copied manually. This was true not only of luxury volumes for wealthy individual patrons, but also of simple, non-lavish copies of texts that were part of the cultural heritage and were used and read, but only by relatively small circles. Not necessarily simply a symptom of cultural conservatism, the continuation of manuscript culture in parallel with print culture had to do, first of all, with economic considerations and marketing prospects. The persistence of manuscript culture provided a certain degree of cultural flexibility and enabled cultural agents to preserve and to further develop certain cultural elements that would have not appealed only to limited groups but to wide circles of the population.

At first sight one would assume that the haggadah, a small book with a canonized text and a fairly traditional cycle of illustrations, would have easily and rapidly been conquered by the press. Yet, it lived on for centuries as a typical manuscript genre. It is hard to believe that the relative dearth of printed editions of the haggadah has anything to do with low expectations regarding the marketing prospects. Neither do the Ashkenazi or the Italian haggadot fall into the category of luxury volumes for the nobility, which, had they done so, would explain the persistence of manuscript haggadot. In fact, as I demonstrate in what follows, it may well have been late-medieval scribes and manuscript makers, rather than early modern printers, that prepared the way for the haggadah to emerge as one of the most popular Jewish books of all time. This article highlights some of the features of the visual language that emerged during the late Middle Ages which became typical of illuminated haggadot, features that proved to be instrumental in opening up the market to wide audiences, well beyond the learned and the very rich.
The late Middle Ages saw determining changes in book culture, changes that affected the book in general and redefined the character and the appearance of the illustrated book. From a handwritten, unique luxury object for the wealthy individual patron, the illustrated book eventually developed into a widely disseminated cultural product in multiple copies, which could be reproduced and reprinted over and over again. Whereas the design of a medieval manuscript was guided by one patron’s individual tastes, preferences, and wealth, that of the printed book was based on marketing prospects for wide distribution and aimed at pleasing as many potential buyers as possible. Naturally the selection of the content was the first factor that determined the character of any given book as a private luxury object or as a widely distributed popular book, particularly in the secular book market.7

The content of the liturgical book, with its pre-determined text and its clearly defined function, is obviously fixed. It would thus seem that it is less apt to be subject to economic circumstances and considerations of individual vs. popular tastes. Yet, it appears that the history of the haggadah as a liturgical book during this crucial period was not less dynamic than that of secular literature. It is, in fact, the decoration program that offers an insight into these matters. In the pages that follow I sketch the development of the illustrated haggadah from a private owner’s expensive gem into a popular, widely used volume. This process did not begin with the first printing of an illustrated haggadah, perhaps shortly before 1492,8 but far earlier when scribes and artists began to think of the book as a reproducible object rather than as a unique work of art.

In many ways Jewish book culture followed the norms and fashions common in the Christian environment. In some aspects, however, it went along on its own rails. The pace of textualization of Jewish knowledge, for example, and the role of secular
literature took specific turns in Jewish society, even though these phenomena were certainly influenced by the book culture current among Christians. More importantly, the attitude of Jews to artistic representation within the framework of religion and ritual was quite different from that of Christians.

*A Preference for Unframed Marginal Illustrations*

The earliest extant illustrated haggadah is part of a miscellany, now in London, and was made in c. 1280 in France. Medieval miscellanies were, in fact, prototypes of private, individual patronage; they constituted one patron’s highly personalized private library and were tailored according to his own, individual tastes, needs, and interests. The London Miscellany has a strong liturgical focus, and the inclusion of the haggadah was thus natural. Even though this haggadah was not yet a separate book and thus not necessarily part of our specific interest here, it is worth looking at its decoration as, in some respects, it foreshadows some of the features that would later become characteristic of the Central European haggadah; in other respects, its approach to decoration appears in some contrast to that of later haggadot.

The London Miscellany uses a variety of picture formats that are typical for thirteenth-century manuscript illumination: full-page panels, decorated initials, and marginal scrolls. All in all its decoration is so typical of gothic French book art that there were repeated suggestions that it was the work of Christian artists. The haggadah, however, follows a scheme of its own and includes a series of unframed marginal illustrations (fig. 1) that are detached from any scrollwork. In fact these pages do not display any scrollwork at all.
Picture formats communicate with their viewers in different ways. There can be no doubt that the unframed marginal illustration was intended to capture the viewer’s attention differently than a large-scale framed image. It appears that this particular format soon turned into one of the most typical features of late-medieval haggadah illustration in Central Europe. In German book art the unframed marginal illustration became a trademark of secular book culture, even though such images were occasionally seen in books of a religious character. In Jewish culture, on the other hand, unframed marginalia became the most widely used format for religious books in general and the haggadah in particular. In fact, apart from scientific literature, nothing is known about Jewish secular book culture from this period.

The observation that there was a striking preference for unframed marginalia in religious contexts calls for some thoughts on the relationships between text, image, and viewer generated by different picture formats. Understanding these relationships will help to discern the possible reasons for the apparent preference among Jews for unframed marginalia in religious books. It appears that the choice of unframed marginalia may have been guided by both religious-theological and socio-economic considerations. The impression created by unframed marginalia suited the Jewish attitude towards the visual medium in a religious framework better than the framed panel, which has a significantly more iconic nature. But apart from these religiously motivated considerations, by choosing a secular-type format, which was dictated by social and economic conditions that were not relevant for religious books, Jewish book production professionals were able to turn the haggadah – in economic terms – into an equivalent of the secular book in Christian culture. In the following I first focus on the nature of the text-image-viewer relationship engendered by unframed marginalia. Then I take a closer look at the visual language employed for the different subject matter at
various stages of the illustrated haggadah’s history and examine how developments in the visual language helped to make the haggadah into what it ultimately turned out to be: one of the Jewish world’s most popular books.

Biblical law forbids the worship of idols (Exod. 20:4). From the very beginning of the study of Jewish art in modern academia it has naturally been assumed that this well-known fact affected the way in which Jewish art in general and figural art in particular developed. The preference for two-dimensional painting over three-dimensional sculpture is clearly indicative of this influence, for it is in line with the ritual law, which prohibits the creation of three-dimensional figures, but by no means restricts the use of figural motifs in two-dimensional form.\textsuperscript{12} Late-antique and medieval Jewish art was always created and used in close conjunction with the norms and practices common in the visual cultures of the environment in which the Jews lived. However, as much as Jews and Christians must have shared a common visual culture, there were also apparent crucial differences in their attitudes towards religious art. Whereas Christianity takes no issue with the anthropomorphic representation of God, the designers of works of art used by Jews had to concern themselves with clear boundaries between the human and the heavenly realms, and a representation of the Divine was not an option. In the following remarks I argue that the preference for unframed illustration is a reflection of these concerns.

As I have observed elsewhere, Jews were well aware of Christian practices with regard to artistic representation. Byzantine Jews of the sixth century and later were familiar with Christian icon worship, and Western Jews knew that European Christians did not venerate icons in the same the way. The awareness that icon worship was not practiced in Western Christianity, in fact, sparked the development of Jewish figural art in the thirteenth century.\textsuperscript{13} Once figural decoration was introduced into Jewish religious
manuscripts, the unframed marginal illustration turned into a preferred medium of visual expression. Later on this mode became yet more common, and in the fifteenth century we find it all over in both the German lands and the Italian communities.

Unframed marginal illustrations appear in medieval art in various contexts, but are only occasionally found in religious books. They became associated primarily with secular culture – that is, with both secular and religious texts that were designed for lay rather than clerical readership – in both the German lands and Italy, in a cultural process that had economic ramifications. Typically for paper manuscripts, these illustrations were quickly executed pen drawings. Such books were usually not commissioned, but were produced to be sold on the market. The educated wealthy middle class could afford them, so they were turned out in larger numbers using cheaper methods. In Germany several workshops produced this type of manuscript; the best known were the one run by Rüdiger Schopf, the so-called workshop of 1418, and that of Diebold Lauber in Haguenau, Alsace. In Italy we find this genre as early as in the fourteenth century. Illustrated copies of Dante’s Divine Comedy and Boccaccio’s Decameron fall into this category. However, this apparent link with secularization and economic developments in German and Italian book culture offer only a partial explanation for the striking Jewish preference for this type of illustration in religious art.

Frames, often defined by philosophers and theorists as parergon, are an important aspect of aesthetic judgment. A frame is thought of as contributing to the completeness of a work of art. Frames or the lack of them are important in terms of visual communication, the mediation of visual information, and the relationship between image and viewer. In the context of manuscript illustration the text adds a third dimension to this relationship. Hence, even if considered in terms of aesthetic judgment,
thoughts about frames can certainly help us to understand the role a frame or its absence plays in the perception and reception of religious images in Jewish book art.

A frame defined as *parergon* is a supplementary accessory, a by-product, subordinate to the *ergon*, the work itself. The frame delineates a work of art and clarifies the work’s boundaries against a background. This raises questions as to whether the frame is integral to or a part of the work or whether it belongs to the background. On the other hand, a *parergon* is not supposed to intrude upon or have a part in the work, that is, it should have no effect on its meaning. In Jacques Derrida’s view the frame is a boundary that provides definition, but it is parergonal and belongs to both the work of art and the surrounding space and merges with either.\(^\text{19}\) This sense of liminality is particularly crucial for an understanding of frames in medieval manuscript painting, where the frames are often ‘violated’, to use Meyer Schapiro’s wording.\(^\text{20}\)

For Schapiro the frame was ‘a non-mimetic element of the image-sign’. He noted that the act of setting boundaries on an image is a relatively late cultural process, part of human evolution. Pre-historical cave art came unframed. After it had become common to frame images within boundaries, however, the frames were taken for granted. An image has a format and a frame, be it just the edges of a sheet of paper. The closeness of a frame and a smooth picture surface provide the image with ‘a definite space of its own’.\(^\text{21}\) Nothing intrudes into this space. Nothing disturbs this space. A frame defines a picture space, whether perspectival or not. It also has the potential to make the representation of three-dimensional space more successful. The frame can thus also be approached as a means of isolating the picture from the rest of the world, to turn the picture into an island, so to speak. The frame allows the picture to appear as an independent entity.

This is not the place to discuss these theories in detail, but even these brief
remains clearly suggest the qualities that are not found in an unframed image. A frame means a boundary; a frame means definition; a frame provides a picture space with coherence; and a frame means order. An unframed image is limitless; it lacks definition and coherent organization of the pictorial space. The unframed image becomes a fraction of a larger whole and penetrates into the viewer’s field of vision. We shall see that these qualities are inherent in unframed marginalia in Jewish manuscripts.

Our first association with a frame is the neatly designed, physical device that encloses early modern and modern panel painting. However, there is a whole range of in-between framing devices, especially in medieval art, that do not fall into that clearly delineated category: frames that do not constitute a full enclosure; frames that have no clear-cut shape; violated frames, that is, frames that are being stepped on by figures in the image or other protruding pictorial elements. Such ‘violations’ allow the image to break out from the artistic sphere and to address the viewer in various ways. A frame designates a defined field, ‘but’ said Schapiro ‘such a field corresponds to nothing in nature or mental imagery where the phantoms of visual memory come up in a vague unbounded void’.  

Herbert Broderick suggests that the ‘violations’ of the frame in medieval art indicate that it belongs to the world of the image, whereas the modern frame belongs rather to the world of the viewer. Jean-Claude Lebensztejn distinguishes between framed medieval art, where an image is ‘an iconic symbol of the Divine’, and early modern art, which is designed as an imitation of the visible and turns the framed panel into a window. He also calls our attention to what he labels ‘indecisive frames’, frames that are located between the inside and the outside, between the imitated and the imitating, the fictive and the real.

Narrative sequences are usually organized by complex framing networks, such as the one on the wooden doors of Santa Sabina from the early fifth century or in gothic
vitraux in the thirteenth. Medieval art occasionally also uses additional framing devices within framed pictures; Christ in Majesty shown in a mandorla within the frames of manuscript compositions or tympana is such a case. These additional framing devices isolate the appearance of Christ from the rest of the image and create a separate visual realm. On the other hand, as Broderick points out, these interior frames can be drawn into the narrative of the composition.

The unframed illustration thus creates a special relationship between image and viewer, a relationship that draws the viewer into the sphere of the image more than any framed representation can do. A variety of different framing strategies can be observed in Byzantine art, strategies that make the frame permeable, turning it into a liminal area, where an intimate relationship between the viewer and the image is possible. In Byzantine art, however, the sphere of the image is where the Divine is present. Glenn Peers points out that early Christian art, in giving up Greco-Roman illusionism, diminishes the ‘differentiation between frame and framed’, that ‘allowed for the real emergence of devotional reality into the realm of the viewer’. Some 900 years later Jewish artists sought to create exactly the opposite effect. A shift back to realism enabled them to depict a sphere of human existence instead of that devotional reality where the Divine is present. The use of unframed illustrations that represent the viewer’s own reality and penetrate into his/her world allows the viewer to penetrate into the imagery and guarantees that there is nothing divine in this sphere – no object of devotion, no emanation of the Divine. Hence, in the context of late-medieval Jewish manuscript illustration an unframed image cannot be taken for a venerated icon in which the Divine has a part.

This makes the preference for unframed marginal illustrations in medieval Jewish art first of all a religiously motivated choice. Unframed marginalia not only
generate a particularly close bond between image and text, letting the former play a crucial role in the mediation of the latter, but also create a setting in which the viewer can be drawn into the imagery and is thus able to assure the pious user of the manuscript that this imagery is part of his own human sphere. Bearing in mind that haggadot would be viewed by diverse audiences, including non-erudite members of the middle class, women, and children these concerns about the representation or the non-representation of the Divine take on greater emphasis. A sophisticated audience of knowledgeable scholars, educated prayer-leaders, and other erudite book users would have been able to cope with more daring imagery in terms of the divine realm. However, when it came to providing wider audiences with religious imagery, this apparently became an issue, and the spiritual fathers of the illustrated haggadah wanted to make certain that the imagery could not be taken for iconic representation.  

When the first European haggadah illustrators chose the medium of unframed marginalia as the most suitable for their task it may have been on religious grounds, but they must have soon realized that this form of art with its unframed drawings also had far-reaching socio-economic implications of the sort I have noted above. Hence, in the further development of the haggadah such marginalia could turn it into a close equivalent of a product of secular book culture. Haggadot with unframed drawings could be produced in a way that made them affordable to a range of audiences, who, in turn, could easily accept the visual language employed without being trapped by the ‘dangers’ of iconic representation. In the decades that followed the creation of the London Miscellany this visual language became ever more ‘secular’, so to speak; it increasingly integrated elements of the daily lives of the viewers, who were thus drawn away from iconic representation into that realm of their own human existence.
Re-creating the Viewer’s Social Reality

The haggadah in the French Miscellany in London was followed about 20 years later by the Bird’s Head Haggadah, which owes its name to the zoocephalic phenomenon characterizing most of its figures. The Bird’s Head Haggadah is, in fact, the earliest extant individually bound illustrated haggadah from Central Europe (fig. 2). Its creators adopted the unframed marginal illustration as the dominant visual medium and created a highly innovative program that accompanied large portions of the haggadah text. The unframed marginalia are the sole medium of communication of visual content and they contribute a great deal towards breaking through any border between the viewer’s realm, the text, and the image space. It is all one and the same space.

Yet, if we follow the visual idiom from the London Miscellany Haggadah via the Bird’s Head Haggadah into the fifteenth century, we can make several observations. Although the latter with its wealth of unframed marginalia makes a clear attempt to break down the barriers between viewer and image, its focus is clearly theological and historical and its overall nature is determined by what Marc Epstein recently treated as a metahistorical layer of visuality. The figures that inhabit the margins of the text, whether biblical or performers of rituals, are dressed in timeless uniform garments; the imagery is highly minimalistic in terms of details from daily life. The further we move across the fourteenth century into the fifteenth, the more the viewer is drawn into the imagery by means of a representation of social realities that are similar to his/her own. The imagery becomes less and less burdened with theological meaning and grows more and more into a medium with which the viewer can identify. Even where history plays a dominant role in the imagery, the borders between the historical element and the world of the contemporary viewer become blurred. Space does not allow me to conduct a full
comparison here of the different haggadah cycles created between 1300 and 1500, but in the following I examine and closely analyse a few specific cases. These are meant to be representative of the different haggadah highlights in terms of subject matter: biblical history, rabbinic theology, and ritual.

The first image in the London Miscellany Haggadah illustrates the text ‘This is the Bread of Affliction’ (fig. 1). It shows a table set with various utensils and dishes with three young men behind it. At some distance we see an older man on a thronelike chair, who raises a golden goblet. All four men are dressed in timeless tunics – the older man is also wearing a cloak – and the overall nature of the image has something solemn and liturgical about it. The older man seems to have more the air of an ordained cleric than a father who guides his family through a liturgical meal; he performs a ritual and the three young men follow his actions; the one in the centre evinces a great deal of devotion and seems to be absorbed in private prayer. Nothing in this image suggests a crowded family gathering on the occasion of a ritual meal.

The Bird’s Head Haggadah includes several compositions of a seder table. At the very beginning of the cycle we encounter an image of a couple seated behind a table (fol. 2v). The page is damaged and the central part is missing, but two matzos can be seen clearly. The entire composition is framed by a large gothic arch which creates some sort of a space – not a private space, one should add, but rather a solid architectural space, which immediately creates an association with liturgical architecture. This, in fact, is the only image in the cycle that has some sort of frame. The next table scene, illustrating the qiddush, quite similar to the former, is an unframed marginal illustration (fig. 2). In comparison to its counterpart in the London Miscellany Haggadah this image conveys an atmosphere of intimate family life. The homey character of the setting is reinforced by the hand-washing utensils to the left.
Similar to the figures in the London Miscellany Haggadah, however, those of the Bird’s Head Haggadah are dressed in timeless tunics. Apart from the different colours in which these tunics are painted there is nothing differentiating or individual about the clothing of the different figures, nothing that would create a reality with which the viewer would have been able to identify. Another table scene appears as an illustration for ‘This is the Bread of Affliction’ and shows a couple seated at the far ends of a long table (fol. 8r). The man is reading the haggadah and the woman is listening. All of these *seder* table scenes, even though of a clearly more intimate character than the more ritualistic composition of the London Miscellany Haggadah, still convey something quite ceremonial, solemn, and remote.

In fourteenth-century Italian haggadot the figures are more rooted in their time in terms of costume and realia. The Wolf Haggadah, a manuscript written in southern France in the second half of the fourteenth century, but apparently illustrated in Italy several decades later, shows a recurring male figure holding haggadah-related utensils, including a goblet, the *matsah*, and the *maror*. A symbolic pointer at the margins of the text, rather than a detailed illustration, this man does not form part of a lively family setting. The real-life Passover ceremony does not involve men standing around solemnly and raising ritually relevant utensils like a ritual expert during a synagogue service; rather, the ceremony involves people at a table celebrating a family meal of historical and halakhic significance. The visual language of the Wolf Haggadah draws the viewer into its imagery by means of its unframed marginalia, but in terms of realia, it still does not fully exploit this medium.

The roughly contemporary Schocken Haggadah (Lombardy, c. 1380–1400) takes a similar approach of placing visual markers in the margins of the text. On the other hand, it is significantly richer in its imagery than the Wolf Haggadah and almost every
page is adorned with a marginal illustration. Often a man is shown performing tasks related to the *seder*, but there are only occasional compositions with more than one figure. Even though the richness in imagery foreshadows the visual language of fifteenth-century haggadot, the rigidity of the compositions, all still presenting the scenes with a minimum of detail and realia, indicates that this book marks only the beginning of a development. Among its many illustrations it also contains a *seder* table. Whereas the table itself is quite rich in utensils and dishes, the three men behind it still communicate something of that sense of ceremonious ritual that we observed in the London Miscellany and the Bird’s Head haggadot. The three male figures seem, again, to be symbolically marking the text rather than portraying a family with youngsters, elderly, women, and children.

It is not until well into the fifteenth century that we begin to see illustrations in haggadot reflecting a different kind of visual language. Inspired by the *Zeitgeist* of early modern realism and by means of more accurate renderings of realia, haggadot artists of the period were making enormous efforts to draw the fifteenth-century viewer into the realm of the imagery. An early stage in this development can be observed in a haggadah, which, again, appears as part of a ‘private library’, a miscellany, now in Hamburg, produced perhaps in Mainz about 1425. Taking a closer look at the illustration of ‘This is the Bread of Affliction’ in the haggadah of the Hamburg Miscellany, we realize that we are in the midst of a family gathering (fig. 3). Old and young are assembled around a table; they are sitting close together; they are pictured in various attitudes of interaction and communication. The scene allows the viewer to feel empathic and to become part of that gathering to a much greater degree than is possible when viewing the more solemn and ceremonial *seder* table representations in the Bird’s Head Haggadah.
It is at this point in the history of the illustrated haggadah that Joel ben Simeon (c. 1420–c. 1492), one of the period’s outstanding actors appears on the stage. A particularly prolific scribe and illustrator, Joel began his career in the Rhineland during the 1440s. In 1452, at the latest, he moved to northern Italy, where evidence of his presence can be found in various places in Lombardy, the Veneto, Emilia-Romagna, and Tuscany. From a sharp change in style observable in his subsequent work, it is clear that he must have received some further training after having arrived in Italy. Unlike his earlier work in the Rhineland, his figures are securely drawn, realistically proportioned, and display delicate facial traits. More specifically, he seems to have been inspired by the art in secular books with marginal drawings of the sort I mentioned above. Much of their nature is reflected in Joel’s work in the second half of the fifteenth century.

While still in the Rhineland Joel produced two haggadot, but both manuscripts were badly trimmed and most of the marginal illustrations were lost. We are basically left with one image in the so-called First Nuremberg Haggadah, now in the Israel Museum, inserted into the text portion and adorning the page of leifikakh (fig. 4). On the one hand, Joel’s visual language still seems to be similar to what he might have seen in earlier haggadot of the style of the London Miscellany, for example. The head of the family is shown to the right performing the blessing over wine. He appears not so much as a father and husband who is seated at the table together with his family, but, rather, as a teaching rabbi, seated on an elaborate chair in front of a lectern. On the other hand, however, the left part of the panel seems to speak a different language. There a family is shown as an independent, separate composition in an illustration that owes more to the model of the Hamburg Miscellany than to that of the London volume: men and women dressed in contemporary costume are shown as a close-knit group. One of the men is lifting a cup performing the blessing somewhat mirroring the companion image to the
far right of the composition. It seems that Joel struggled here with designing a religious
ceremony and could not easily make up his mind whether to choose the solemn,
somewhat formal performer of a ritual or to depict the atmosphere of a family gathering
of religious significance.

A quick look at one of the haggadot that Joel produced shortly after his move to
Italy shows quite eloquently where his approach to the illustration of this book was heading.
The so-called Rothschild Haggadah, also known as the Murphy Haggadah, currently held in
the National Library of Israel shows an elaborate seder scene as an illustration of ‘This is
the Bread of Affliction’ (fig. 5). In a composition packed with realia, Joel created a scene
taken from life: men and women, the young and the elderly all dressed in the fashion of the
day with furnishings typical of a fifteenth-century household, including two large star-
shaped Sabbath lamps; there are utensils in a rich variety of shapes on the table and under it
we see a dog munching on a large bone.

Joel ben Simeon pursued the same approach in his subsequent work. Throughout
his long career he developed a rich visual language through which he seems to have
been tremendously successful in drawing the viewer into the world of the depicted
figures. A whole range of social types is integrated into his frameless marginal
compositions of both ritual and biblical-mythical scenes: the patrons of the haggadot are
shown with their families next to wise scholars, wicked gentile knights, simpletons,
common workers, vagabonds, and others (figs. 6, 7, and 8). It is by means of these
reflections of the society in which he lived that Joel was able to draw the readers and
viewers into the world of the book. Everyone, from the wealthy to the poor, could find
himself in the margins of these books. Whereas the ritual depictions of the London
Miscellany look like solemn liturgical settings and symbolic markers and those of
Bird’s Head Haggadah appear as some sort of timeless halakhic instruction, Joel’s images offer an insight into the life of his clientele.

In the London Miscellany Haggadah the four sons are represented generically by a single figure of neutral appearance, a young man who raises his hands in a gesture that suggests that he is asking a question (fol. 205v). It is the actual act of asking that is referred to here, not any of the social types these sons are supposed to represent. In the Bird’s Head Haggadah the four sons are entirely missing. In Joel’s repertoire, finally, the four figures turn into representatives not only of human types, but of social groups. They display several characteristics in their costume, body language, and general appearance that easily associate them with scholars, vagabonds, aggressive gentiles, or commoners. The same approach applies to the other figures, which represent a cross section of society. Their costumes are indicative of their social rank as either wealthy (fig. 6) or poor (fig. 7) and hard-working; scholarly (fig. 8) or uneducated.

In 1469 Joel produced a mahzor for one Rav Menahem, the son of Samuel. As the unusually detailed colophon explains, the book was intended for the use of the latter’s daughter Maraviglia, a kind of designation that was common in Italian mahzorim. The manuscript also contains a haggadah with illustrations, and among these we find the image of a young lady, presumably Maraviglia herself, holding a matsah. This image, which replaces the conventional man raising the unleavened bread in other haggadot, indicates that representations of this kind were meant to portray the owners of the books. These patrons were thus supposed to find on the pages reflections of themselves, their families, and the society that surrounded them.

Occasionally only a slight shift in the imagery marks a significant change in the visual language. For example, several haggadot include illustrations of a man roasting meat on an open fire. The London Miscellany Haggadah (fol. 205v) and the Bird’s Head
Haggadah (fol. 22r) show a man, dressed as all the other men in the illustration cycle, turning a spit with a whole lamb (or perhaps a ram). The same is true in Sephardi haggadot, which often include the same scene. The Hamburg Miscellany Haggadah shows an elaborate sacrifice scene in the interior of the Temple (fol. 31r). In contrast, in his 1478 Washington Haggadah, Joel shows a physically impaired vagabond turning the spit with a chunk of meat (fig. 7). As I show elsewhere, the lad was perhaps a miserable vagabond being taken in to partake of the seder meal, as the law prescribes: ‘Here is the bread of distress which our ancestors ate in the land of Egypt. Let anyone who is hungry come and eat. Let anyone who wishes come and participate in the Passover’. The difference between the two approaches to the roasting scene is obvious. Whereas the early haggadot, including the Hamburg Miscellany, clearly refer to the biblical Passover sacrifice in a timeless, symbolic idiom, Joel shows the here and now of the family getting ready for the meal. According to the ritual law, after the destruction of the Temple, one is not supposed to roast a whole lamb, but only a portion of the lamb’s meat. Joel projected the symbolic representation of biblical content onto the contemporary setting of real life.

The highlight of the haggadah and its illustration cycle is the departure of the Israelites from Egypt, marking their liberation from bondage. Numerous haggadot offer visual representations of these acts and show the Israelites first being burdened by bondage, then leaving Egypt with Pharaoh’s army of pursuing them, and finally crossing the sea. The London Miscellany Haggadah shows only a few of these scenes. Most of its imagery is of a ritual nature and includes only two Israelites going down to Egypt and two others preparing the bricks for Pharaoh’s treasure cities. Similar to the figures in this haggadah that I mentioned earlier, these men are, again, shown as timeless markers of primarily symbolic value. They wear the typical timeless garments
that appear so often in biblical scenes of the thirteenth century and there is a minimum of
detail.

The Bird’s Head Haggadah depicts several more events, among them the
Departure from Egypt as a large double-page composition (fols. 25v-26r). To the left we
see an isocephalic row of uniformly dressed men in tunics, led by Moses, who stands
out only because of his funnel hat and his rod. There is special emphasis on the
Israelites hurrying away taking their unleavened dough. On the right-hand page the
Egyptian army is following. Kurt Schubert remarked that the repeated appearance of
Rudolph of Hapsburg’s heraldic eagle in the imagery of the Egyptians marks his 1286
pursuit of a large group of Jews leaving the Rhineland, apparently on their way to the
Holy Land. Rudolph, in need of Jewish tax money, did not allow the Jews to leave the
Empire. The famous Rabbi Meir of Rothenburg was among this group and was
imprisoned.43

At first sight this scene could be interpreted as an attempt to employ a visual
language that elicits a topical setting. However, there is nothing in this image that
creates a contemporary social setting of the kind that we find in Joel’s books. The
background of this representation is the equation of Emperor Rudolph with Pharaoh, the
prototypical persecutor of Israel. Taking an event of recent history to reinforce this
image of persecution communicates polemics based on theological considerations. It
does not, however, contribute a great deal to drawing the viewer into the composition
by means of the visual language. Creating an allusion to the polemics of the time, an
allusion of theological significance, is one thing; creating a composition packed with
lively details of contemporary reality in order to enable the viewer to feel part of the
setting, emotionally and socially, is quite another.
In contrast, the artist of the Hamburg Miscellany and, somewhat later, Joel ben Simeon depict these biblical sceneries as contemporary dramas, pregnant with an abundance of detail taken from the viewers’ environment and their experiences of daily life. The Israelites of the Hamburg volume go down to Egypt not as a symbolical row of timelessly dressed figures, but as a large crowd of people, men, women, and children, some on a carriage, some on horseback, some on foot (fig. 9). Two men are engaged in conversation, while at the end of the crowd a donkey seems to be digging in his heels, refusing to walk any further. Cattle and more donkeys are moving along with the people. Tents are shown to emphasize the text on the same page that mentions the temporary nature of the Israelites’ sojourn in Egypt (‘…this means that Jacob did not go down into Egypt to settle, but to stay there for a while’). In the background two medieval settlements are seen nestled in a rich landscape of mountains, trees, flowers, and more. The details of this composition offer far more than the minimum needed to come to terms with the biblical content of the scene. The image does not show a timeless biblical group, but a contemporary crowd of Jews, perhaps expelled from a German town, seeking refuge in some other place. By means of numerous details the artist draws the viewer into the composition so that he can imagine himself in this setting and experience the event vicariously. This reaction is not due to the inclusion of an element with significant political weight, such as the Hapsburg eagle; rather, it is elicited by drawing the viewer into a reflection of his/her own reality.

The haggadah ritual is designed so that its performers will go through a mental process that makes them feel part of the Departure from Egypt, but this can be accomplished in various ways. One method is to evoke symbols that connect the reader with the past and allude to it intellectually rather than emotionally. However, what we
see in the Hamburg Miscellany is different and it is by means of its particular visual language that the viewer is integrated into the events at an entirely different level.

Joel ben Simeon followed the same path. Several of his manuscripts contain a large double-page composition of the Departure from Egypt on the left-hand page and the pursuing Egyptians on the right (fig. 10). Large crowds move from the right-hand side of the composition to its left. Several details, such as Moses raising his rod, the column of fire in front of the people, and the cloud behind them, allude to the biblical story. But apart from these allusions, this is a crowd of late-medieval Italian Jews dressed in the contemporary fashion, taking with them a rich collection of dishes and household utensils. Even though all of Joel’s figures are drawn with typical facial traits, there are some differences in age and expression. These are no longer the uniform, formulaic faces with the beaks of the Bird’s Head Haggadah. This is a group of people that includes children, women, the young and the elderly, the rich and the poor. Whoever viewed these images could, so to speak, find him/herself among these people. The same applies to the Egyptian army with its armour and weaponry, knights on horseback and common soldiers on foot, a carriage carrying a jester, and a small barrel hanging attached to one of the carriage’s beams.

During his long career, spent for the most part in Italy, Joel must have returned to the German lands twice. We know that around 1460 he collaborated with Meir Jaffe, a scribe in Ulm, and decorated the London Haggadah, from which the composition of the Departure from Egypt described above was taken. The year 1478 found him again in the German lands, where he wrote and decorated what is now known as the Washington Haggadah. It is likely that Joel produced other works during his sojourns north of the Alps, and it was probably in these works that he introduced the iconographic themes he had developed in Italy to Ashkenazi culture. He also seems...
have acquired a certain degree of fame there, as his influence is discernible during the second half of the fifteenth century in several haggadot produced in the German lands.

Compositions that were apparently inspired by Joel’s work are found in two manuscripts from Franconia which are seminal in our attempts to understand the dissemination of illustrated haggadot among wide circles of the Jewish population. Around 1465 a workshop in either Nuremberg or Bamberg produced perhaps a whole group of haggadot, of which two, the Second Nuremberg Haggadah and the Yahuda Haggadah, are extant.46 As I have shown elsewhere the two were made in one working process by a team of scribes and illustrators who collaborated in a manner that indicates that they sought means of easy and fast reproduction towards meeting the needs of a wider market. The two volumes are very similar; they were written by the same scribe and then passed on to an illustrator, who supplied the under-drawings of the illustrations beginning with the Second Nuremberg Haggadah and then moved on to the Yahuda Haggadah. Perhaps while some of the quires were still in the hands of the scribe or the illustrator, a colourist began his part of the project and then passed the quires on to yet another professional to add the final touches and to apply the final contours to the images.47

At first sight the images in these haggadot – small unframed marginalia that adorn the outer and lower margins of every page – seem to be a crude product of somewhat sloppy amateurish illustrators. A closer look, however, indicates that this is not necessarily the output of non-professionals, but of a team of workers who sought innovative production methods inspired by the current trends in book making, an alternative to print making, so to speak, without having to invest in a press.48 The style of the marginalia was clearly influenced by woodcuts and it is quite possible that a woodcut model book served the illustrators in the planning of their work. Hence, in
many ways the two books mark a turning point in the history of the illustrated haggadah on its way to becoming a popular book.

Joel’s work must have been known to the Franconian illustrators because the compositions of the Departure from Egypt and the Transmission of the Law on Mount Sinai are clearly modelled after his work.49 But apart from these iconographic similarities these manuscripts share a great deal of their visual language. The cycles of the two Franconian haggadot are replete with detailed preparation scenes, ritual scenes, seder tables with large families gathered together, and more. Even though not drawn realistically, but depicted in a somewhat naïve idiom, they reflect a great deal of realia, including contemporary furniture, a variety of tableware that has counterparts in the works of contemporary goldsmiths from the area, household utensils, and costumes (fig. 11).

A brief look at a series of images illustrating several aspects of the preparations towards the holiday can demonstrate how easily the contemporary viewer must have seen his/her own environment reflected in the scenes, even though not realistically represented (fig. 12). The preparation of the unleavened bread, spread over two or three pages is shown in a series of several images, all referring in minute detail to the most precise requirements of ritual law: from the moment the wheat is brought to the mill to the finished matzot being drawn out of the oven we encounter the entire process of mixing the flour and water, kneading the dough, forming the breads, and bringing them to the oven. In effect, a late-medieval Ashkenazi household comes to life in this ‘family portrait’. These two pages appear at the very beginning of the manuscript. Thus even before the text begins readers find themselves immediately drawn into a visual representation of their own reality, an opening into the text of the haggadah and the biblical and ritual marginalia that accompany the text throughout. The text begins with
instructions for cleaning the house and ritually removing the last traces of leaven. At the margins we find a series of small illustrations showing the members of the household performing the different tasks prescribed by the ritual law (fig. 13). These illustrations certainly served as visual aids to remind one of the halakhic issues involved, but they also mirrored the lives of those who purchased these books and were drawn into their imagery.

Conclusions

The visual language of the late-medieval haggadah had an extended evolution from its early beginnings in the late thirteenth century through Joel ben Simeon’s treatment in the second half of the fifteenth up to the two Franconian haggadot. The last appear, in fact, as particularly close equivalents of the secular book culture that developed in parallel in the German lands and in Italy. From the early beginnings of Hebrew manuscript painting in general, and of haggadah illustration in particular, the unframed marginal image was the preferred medium of illustration in these volumes. The book trade underwent significant changes during this period, changes that ultimately led to the invention of the printing press. Far-reaching economic and social shifts affected the book market, its clientele, and the way books were used, when an ever-increasing wealthy middle class began to acquire cultural goods that had hitherto been sought only by the nobility. Decades before Johannes Gutenberg used a press for the first time in 1455 book makers had already begun to adapt to the evolving situation, producing paper manuscripts and engaging in an entirely different approach to illustration. Simple, quickly executed, only partially coloured pen drawings which enabled the illustrators to work on greater numbers of copies were the result. Another move towards accelerated
book production was the appearance of the woodcut. One of the consequences of this development was the fact that books were no longer produced exclusively to order, but made in several copies for the open market and to be kept in stock.

The late-medieval haggadah was intended for use by anyone who could read or would have been read to. Although the text might have depended on a literate reader, everyone could relate to the images, which could draw in any viewer whether a learned ritual expert, a literate member of the household, or a person unaccustomed to reading who participated in religious ceremonies primarily by listening. Such people were not necessarily ‘illiterate’ in the modern sense of the word. There were different levels of literacy or illiteracy in the Middle Ages. Prior to the twelfth century, for example, silent reading was rare. People were read to aloud and the practice of listening to a read text did not necessarily imply illiteracy. Women were using books, which did not necessarily imply that they were fully literate in the modern sense of the word, but they were actively participating in rituals and absorbed the texts that were read to them at different levels other than silent, private reading. 51 Some listeners could follow a text perhaps without being able to read silently and independently. These different degrees of literacy imply active reading vs. the passive consumption of texts. 52 Images in haggadot were thus not necessarily simply a ‘haggadah for the illiterate’. 53

Like their Christian colleagues, Jewish scribes and illustrators were well aware of these new possibilities. Haggadah production, which began to flourish during this period, developed in exactly that niche. People like Joel ben Simeon realized that even though the haggadah was in its essence a liturgical book, it was also a close counterpart to what would develop into the secular book for the middle class. Creating a type of book that was not intended for ritual experts during official synagogue services, these scribes and illustrators modelled the haggadah after the trends common in the secular
book market. The unframed illustration was a suitable theological solution for coping with issues of religious representation and, at the same time and in social terms, the haggadah emerged as a close counterpart to the secular book, easily finding its place within the economic realities of the fifteenth-century book trade.
Notes


The catalogue of microfilmed manuscripts at the National Library of Israel lists 9 manuscripts containing the haggadah from the 14th c., 30 from the 15th, surprisingly only three from the 16th, 14 from the 17th, but about 120 from the 18th c. It is beyond the framework of this paper to study the implications of these statistics, but this is definitely worth an analysis. It certainly demonstrates that the haggadah manuscript lived on for at least 350 years after the invention of the printing press.

This does not apply to Iberia, especially Catalonia, where very costly luxury haggadot were produced during the 14th c. The most outstanding example is the Golden Haggadah, London, British Library, MS Add. 27210,

http://www.bl.uk/onlinegallery/tp/hagadah/accessible/introduction.html. I have shown elsewhere, however, that other Sephardi haggadot must have belonged to the less wealthy, Katrin Kogman-Appel, *Illustrated Haggadot from Medieval Spain: Biblical...*
For reasons yet to be researched, no Sephardi illustrated haggadot from the 15th c. have come down to us. It thus seems that at the time when the illustrated haggadah emerged in Central Europe as a widely disseminated book for various audiences, in Iberia it had ceased to exist as a common genre.

7 Literature on these issues is vast and cannot be listed here in full; some examples are Denis Green, *Medieval Listening and Reading: The Primary Reception of German Literature 800–1300* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1994); *Laienlektüre und Buchmarkt im späten Mittelalter*, ed. T. Kock and R. Schlusemann, Frankfurt/Main 1997; Laurel Amtower, *Engaging Words: The Culture of Reading in the Later Middle Ages* (New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2000).

8 There is a fragment of a haggadah with two woodcuts, perhaps printed in Iberia prior to the expulsion of the Jews from Spain in 1492 and from Portugal in 1496. Scholars do not agree whether it was printed in Iberia before 1492, or in Constantinople at the beginning of the 16th c. A facsimile of these pages appeared in conjunction with Ya’ari, for some notes, see Ya’ari, introduction.


10 For a discussion of this manuscript’s contents, see Raphael Loewe’s contribution in Schonfield, pp. 193–284.

11 See Yael Zirlin’s contribution in Schonfield, p. 124. Zirlin explains the idiosyncratic nature of the haggadah decoration by pointing out that the assumed Christian artists had no haggadah model at their disposal. Her approach, which leads to the determination that Christian artists executed the miniatures of the London Miscellany, is based exclusively on her search for models. The manuscript has not
undergone any examination of its iconography beyond this traditional search. The
question as to whether Jewish or Christian artists were at work should thus be re-
visited.

12 For a relatively recent summary of the halakhic issue, see Lee I. Levine, The
Ancient Synagogue: The First Thousand Years (New Haven and London: Yale
University Press, 2000), pp. 451–58; for the Middle Ages, see, for example,
Maimonides’ statement Mishne Torah: Sefer hamada, hilkhot avodat kokhavim 3:10, ed.
Shabtai Fraenkel (Jerusalem: Bne Yoseph, 1973), for an English version of this
paragraph, see Jewish Texts on the Visual Arts, ed. Vivian B. Mann (New York:

13 Katrin Kogman-Appel, ‘Christianity, Idolatry, and the Question of Jewish
Figural Art in the Middle Ages’, Speculum 84/1 (2009), 73–107.

14 To these belong most notably a group of Byzantine Psalters from the ninth
century and beyond; see Maria Evangelatou, ‘The Illustrations of the Ninth-Century
Marginal Psalters: Layers of Meaning and Their Sources’ (unpublished doctoral thesis,
University of London, 2002). A Western example is the Utrecht Psalter, whose
unframed illustrations were interpreted by Michael Camille as the ‘locus of often
complex text illustrations’, and as a by-product of reading practices among monks,

15 This was pointed out by Wolfgang Stammler in the 1960s, and later
by Liselotte Stamm-Saurma (Jeltsch); see, for example Wort und Bild: Studien zu den
Wechselbeziehungen zwischen Schriftum und Bildkunst im Mittelalter, ed. Wolfgang
wicze: zum Bildgehalt spätmittelalterlicher Epenhandschriften’, Zeitschrift des
deutschen Vereins für Kunstwissenschaft, 41/1–4 (1987), 42–70; Liselotte E. Stamm-
This chapter in late-medieval book culture is primarily known through the work of Liselotte Saurma-Stamm (Jeltsch); see, for example, Die Rüdiger Schopf-Handschriften: Die Meister einer Freiburger Werkstatt des späten 14. Jahrhunderts und ihre Arbeitsweise (Salzburg: Sauerländer, 1981); and Späformen mittelalterlicher Buchherstellung. Bilderhandschriften aus der Werkstatt Diebold Laubers in Hagenau (Wiesbaden: Reichert, 2001).

In Italy authors occasionally illustrated the first editions of their works in order to guarantee an accurate rendering of the illustrations. This was the case, for example, for I documenti d’amore by the Florentine humanist Francesco da Barberino (d. 1348); see Bernhard Degenhart and Annegrit Schmitt, Corpus der Italienischen Zeichnungen 1300–1450 (Berlin: Gebrüder Mann, 1968), pt. 1, vol. 1, cat.-no 13, pp. 31–38; or one of the manuscripts of Boccaccio’s Decameron, Degenhart and Schmitt, cat.-no 67. In general the practice of illustrating literary works with unframed water-colour marginalia seems to have been common among Italian intellectuals and literary figures: Boccaccio is known to have illustrated his own copy of the Divine Comedy, Degenhart and Schmitt, cat.-no. 66; on Boccaccio’s practices as scribe and illustrator, see also recently Rhiannon Daniels, Boccaccio and the Book: Production and Reading in Italy 1340–1520 (London: Modern Humanities Research Association and Maney Publ., 2009), p. 16 with references to earlier literature.
The unframed marginal illustrations in Hebrew manuscripts that are the subject of my current discussion are quite different in nature from the typical gothic marginalia that inhabit the scrollwork of medieval manuscripts from the thirteenth century on. As Michael Camille has observed, scrollwork marginalia are usually subordinated to a main imagery, often a framed initial. They can stand on their own representing aspects of the artist’s world in contrast to what the written word stands for. They are part of a hierarchical system between low and high, sacred and secular, human and monstrous, holy and sinful. They are quite different in nature, sometimes fabulous and monstrous, sometimes subversive, hybrid, and so on. For further observations on scrollwork marginalia, see Camille, *Image on the Edge*, 00. Nothing of this, however, applies to the unframed illustration that is the subject of the current discussion. Scrollwork marginalia are bound to the scrollwork as some sort of framework and thus create a reality of their own. In contrast unframed marginal illustrations of the kind found in late-medieval haggadot are independent illustrational units that accompany the text and mediate certain text-related visual contents to the viewer/reader.


21 Schapiro, p. 9.
22 Schapiro, p. 9.
28 Hebrew manuscript illustration occasionally challenges the taboo of divine representation, but in these cases precautions are taken to clearly demarcate the boundaries of the realm where the invisible dwells, the Divine beyond representation; for an example, see the representation of the couple of the Song of Songs in the Leipzig Mahzor, Leipzig, Universitätsbibliothek, MS Voller 1002/1, fol. 64v, which approaches the Divine in terms of a female personification of the revealed Glory; for a discussion,

Unframed marginalia did, occasionally appear in thirteenth-century mahzorim from the Rhineland and Franconia. They may very well have to do with the above-mentioned attempts to clearly demarcate the human and the divine realm. However, they never dominated the visual language as completely as they do in the Bird’s Head Haggadah or any of the later haggadot. They appear together with other – framed – forms of illustration and seem not yet to have fully conquered the imagery. It is notable that the mahzorim were used by a different kind of audience of erudite scholars and learned prayer-leaders, whereas the haggadah would develop into a book used by much wider circles of the Jewish population. For more background on the use of medieval mahzorim in Ashkenaz, see Kogman-Appel, *A Mahzor from Worms*, Chap. 4.

Epstein, see esp. Chapter 5.

Jerusalem, National Library of Israel, cod. Heb. 8º7246, fols. 6r, 21v; the images are published at http://cja.huji.ac.il/manuscripts/Wolf%20Haggadah/Overview_document.html.

The Hamburg Miscellany exhibits a somewhat unusual text-image relationship. Several of the images appear as unfinished drawings, whereas others are fully painted. Several of the painted images have fully coloured backgrounds, the edges of which demarcate the boundaries of the image and thus create some sort of frame. This applies especially to those images that represent interior settings. Other images, even though exhibiting fully painted landscape backgrounds, have no clear-cut framing device. On many pages the text left large margins of irregular shape to allow large compositions, whereas clearly the scribe determined the general appearance of the latter. It would make sense to assume that the scribe, in fact, executed the drawings while writing the text. All in all this manuscript exhibits a rather unusual text-image relationship, where the two are almost integrated and seem to create one unified form of communication that encompasses both a textual medium and a visual language; the Hamburg Miscellany has never been published; images appear in Kurt and Ursula Schubert, *Jüdische Buchkunst I*, Graz 1883, figs. 30–32.


London, British Library, MS Add. 26957, fol. 45r; for the colophon, see fol. 112r.

In the Sephardi haggadot the roasting of the lamb is usually integrated in the cycle of full-page miniatures at the beginning of the book. These series represent full-fledged biblical cycles and conclude without interruption with a few scenes reflecting the preparations in a contemporaneous household. The roasting scenes are located
between these images at the very end of the biblical cycle leading to the preparation series; see, for example, London, British Library, MS Or. 2737, fol. 91v; MS Add. 15r; MS Or. 1404, fol. 7v; this latter manuscript also shows the roasting in an initial panel adjacent to the text; however, here, too, we see an entire lamb, fol. 8r; Manchester, John Rylands University Library, MS heb. 6, fol. 19v; Bezalel Narkiss, Aliza Cohen-Mushlin, Aliza, and Anat Tcherikover, *Hebrew Illuminated Manuscripts in the British Isles*, vol. 1: *Spanish and Portuguese Manuscripts* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1982).


40 In the haggadah, the section of ‘This is the Bread of Affliction’.


42 Fols. 204r and 205v.

43 Schubert, 118–19.

44 In a colophon on fol. 48v Joel ben Simeon states that he painted the Haggadah. Several of the illustrations, however, have been identified as the work of Johannes Bämler, a southern German publisher of manuscripts and printed books between the 1450s and 1475. For details see Sheila Edmunds, ‘The Place of the London Haggadah in the Work of Joel Ben Simeon’, *Journal of Jewish Art* 7 (1980), 25–34; Yael Zirlin, ‘Joel Meets Johannes: A Fifteenth-Century Jewish-Christian Collaboration in Manuscript Illumination’, *Viator* 26 (1995), 265–82. For the identification of the scribe, see

For more on this process, see Kogman-Appel, in Stern and Kogman-Appel, 62–87.

London, private collection of David Sofer, for a digital version with bibliography, see http://jnul.huji.ac.il/dl/mss-pr/mss_d_0076/; Jerusalem, Israel Museum, MS 180/50.


For this and similar reasons for the persistence of manuscript culture, with a focus on Italy, see Brian Richardson, Manuscript Culture in Renaissance Italy (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2009), Chapter 1.

The Transmission of the Law is not a subject typically included in the haggadah, but it is found in several of Joel’s Italian mahzorim; for some information, see Kogman-Appel, Die Zweite Nürnberger und die Jehuda Haggadah, 170–78.

Note, for example, the type of cup on the table, which was common during this period in the German lands, Kogman-Appel, Die Zweite Nürnberger und die Jehuda Haggadah, 274–75.

On the question of whether women were involved in reading the haggadah, see Katrin Kogman-Appel, ‘Portrayals of Women with Books: Female (II)literacy in Medieval Jewish Culture’, in Reassessing the Roles of Women in Medieval Art, ed. Therese Martin (Leiden: E. J. Brill, forthcoming 2012).

Paul Saenger, Space between Words: The Origins of Silent Reading (Palo Alto: Stanford University Press, 1997); Paul Saenger, ‘Books of Hours and the Reading

53 This refers to Pope Gregory’s (d. 640) famous dictum, *Epistolarium*, PL 77, 1128–1130, about murals being a Bible for the illiterate; this, however, should be contextualized in Greco-Roman culture, where the demarcation between the literate and the illiterate played a certain social role; see Saenger, *Space between Words*, Chapter 1; it is not naturally applicable to later medieval uses of illustration. As to secular audiences for a prayer book, a parallel phenomenon in Christian art would be the emergence of Books of Hours intended for a secular patronage. However, the Book of Hours went, socially speaking, on different rails. Used by a relatively small class of nobility, it kept its original iconographic character based on biblical imagery. The Book of Hours underwent several developments over the decades between the fourteenth and the early fifteenth century, but nothing comparable that would draw the viewer into the imagery can be observed there. Hence in many senses the observed development of the haggadah is unique. Literature on medieval Books of Hours is vast and cannot be listed here; for introductory surveys offering good overviews, see Roger S. Wieck, Painted Prayers: The Book of Hours in Medieval and Renaissance Art (New York: George Braziller, 2000); Roger S. Wieck, Time Sanctified: The Book of Hours in Medieval Art and Life (New York: George Braziller, 2001); Picturing Piety: The Book of Hours, ed. Roger S. Wieck, Sandra Hindman, and Ariane Bergeron Foote (London: Paul Holberton, 2008); on Books of Hours and medieval reading practices, see Saenger, ‘Books of Hours’. Exceptions are some Books of Hours that display marginal patron portraits – many of them female – that in some sense create a visual realm into which the patron can be drawn. These unframed patron portraits are clearly set apart from the
framed initial panels of religious imagery with which they are juxtaposed. For a recent discussion of these portraits, see Margo Stroumsa-Uzan, ‘Women’s Prayer: Devotion and Gender in Books of Hours in Northern France, c. 1300 [in Hebrew]’, (unpublished doctoral thesis, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, 2010), chap. 5.